

# Simulations



## LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS

### LEARNER IMPACTS

- Attitude
- Behavior
- Motivation
- Self-regulation

Over the past 25 years the use of simulations has been found to be engaging as learning and assessment tools (Behrens, DiCerbo, & Ferrara, 2012; Gegenfurtner, Quesada-Pallarès, & Knogler, 2014; Mitchell & Savell-Smith, 2004; Pai-Hsing Wu et al., 2014; Quellmalz et al., 2012; Shute & Ventura, 2013). A digital simulation can be defined as a technology modeling a system or a process where a user can manipulate parameters in the system (De Jong & Van Joolingen, 1998). In a simulation, processes, systems, and functions of real-life phenomena are simulated in real time in an authentic and complex manner, which in turn helps learners to critically engage with the learning material (Holladay & Quiñones, 2003). Common examples of simulations involve pilot training in flight simulators (Jacobs, Prince, Hays & Salas, 1990; Wong, Meyer, Timson, Perfect, & White, 2012), decision making in business simulations (Lainema & Nurmi, 2006; Siewiorek & Gegenfurtner, 2010), medical diagnosis using simulated patients (Consorti, Mancuso, Nocioni, & Piccolo, 2012; Cook, Erwin, & Triola, 2010; Iseli, Koenig, Lee, & Wainess, 2010), and physics learning in 2D physics simulation environments (Shute & Ventura, 2013). Digital simulations are becoming increasingly popular in professional training for developing complex cognitive skills (Helle et al., 2011; Mayer, Dale, Fraccastoro, & Moss, 2011; Rogers, 2011; Siewiorek, Gegenfurtner, Lainema, Saarinen, & Lehtinen, 2013; Tynjälä, Häkkinen, & Hämäläinen, 2014; Wang & Wu, 2008).

Best practices for designing simulations for learning include:

- Match simulations to learning goals
- Make learning essential to simulation progress
- Build in proven instructional strategies
- Build in guidance and structure
- Manage complexity
- Make relevance salient

- Assessment: Software simulation
- Instruction: Active learning experience

- Robust Technology: Flight simulator
- Simple Technology: 2D physics simulations in a digital environment
- Content Support: Using the Evidence-Centered Design (ECD) approach

## DESCRIPTION

## CAPABILITIES

## SAMPLE DESIGN IMPLEMENTATIONS



Pearson

# Simulations

## SELF-ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT



Principle Criteria	Integration (4-5 points)	Exploration (2-3 points)	Consideration (1 point)	Not Applicable (0 Points)	Total Points
<b>Definition</b>	Strong application of technology to model a system or process	Some application of technology to model a system or process	Poor application of technology to model a system or process	Does NOT use effectively or is not a related activity	= ____
	Strong integration of user control to manipulate the simulation	Some integration of user control to manipulate the simulation	Poor integration of user control to manipulate the simulation		
	Strong use of simulation to engage learners in an authentic context	Some use of simulation to engage learners in an authentic context	Poor use of simulation to engage learners in an authentic context		
<b>Model</b>	Strong use of simulation to foster experimentation, discovery, construction, and collaboration	Some use of simulation to foster experimentation, discovery, construction, and collaboration	Poor use of simulation to foster experimentation, discovery, construction, and collaboration	Does NOT use effectively or is not a related activity	= ____
	Strong use of simulation to conduct authentic tasks within a situated environment	Some use of simulation to conduct authentic tasks within a situated environment	Poor use of simulation to conduct authentic tasks within a situated environment		
<b>Design</b>	Strong selection of an appropriate simulation type for the context <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Virtual world</li> <li>• Virtual modeling</li> <li>• Experimental sandbox</li> <li>• Reactive branching</li> <li>• Adaptive branching</li> </ul>	Some selection of an appropriate simulation type for the context <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Virtual world</li> <li>• Virtual modeling</li> <li>• Experimental sandbox</li> <li>• Reactive branching</li> <li>• Adaptive branching</li> </ul>	Poor selection of an appropriate simulation type for the context <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Virtual world</li> <li>• Virtual modeling</li> <li>• Experimental sandbox</li> <li>• Reactive branching</li> <li>• Adaptive branching</li> </ul>	Does NOT use effectively or is not a related activity	= ____
	Strong evaluation of design risks to optimize the simulation	Some evaluation of design risks to optimize the simulation	Poor evaluation of design risks to optimize the simulation		
	Strong use of evidence-based principles to design the simulation	Some use of evidence-based principles to design the simulation	Poor use of evidence-based principles to design the simulation		
<b>Assessment</b>	Strong application of assessment within the simulation environment	Some application of assessment within the simulation environment	Poor application of assessment within the simulation environment	Does NOT use effectively or is not a related activity	= ____
	Strong evidence of value-added validity beyond traditional assessments	Some evidence of value-added validity beyond traditional assessments	Poor evidence of value-added validity beyond traditional assessments		
	Strong use of both product and process data for assessment and feedback	Some use of both product and process data for assessment and feedback	Poor use of both product and process data for assessment and feedback		