Open science, replication, and teaching psychology

Presenter: Mark Krause, PhD Department of Psychology Southern Oregon University <u>krausema@sou.edu</u>

Collaborator: Daniel Corts, PhD Department of Psychology Augustana College DanielCorts@Augustana.edu



Replication: What are its roles in science?

- Establish reliability and validity of methods and measures
- Uncover <u>enduring</u>, <u>stable</u> explanations for phenomena
- Account for potential sources of bias
 - Researcher biases, p-hacking
 - ► The file drawer problem
- ► To confirm results were not a fluke, e.g. a Type I error

Open Science Framework

https://osf.io/ezcuj/

| Open Science Framework | | Browse - | Help - C | Sigi | n in - | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|---|
| Reproducibility Project: Psychology Files Wiki An | alytics Registrations Forks | | | | | |
| eproducibility Project: Psycho | logy | | Public | @ 66 | C 14 | Q |
| tributors: Alexander A. Aarts, Christopher Jon Anderson, Joanna Anderson án Bahník, Erica Baranski, Michael Barnett-Cowan, Elizabeth Bartmess, J ny Borsboom, Annick Bosch, Frank Bosco, Sara Bowman, Mark Brandt, El | n, Marcel A.L.M. van Assen, Peter Raymond ennifer Beer, Raoul Bell, Heather Bentley, Do rin Braswell, Hilmar Brohmer, Benjamin T. Br | Attridge, Angela Attv on van den Bergh, Le own, Kristina Brown, | vood, Jordan Ax eah Beyan, Bob Jovita Brüning, | t, Molly Bab by den Beze Ann Calhou | el, emer, in-Sauls, | |
| e Created: 2012-04-01 08:49 AM Last Updated: 2015-09-14 04:19 PM legory: Project 📦 | | | | | | |
| Viki | Citation | | | osf.io/ezc | uj 🗸 | |
| Estimating the Reproducibility of Psvchological Science | Components | | | | | |
| Open Science Collaboration Abstract: Reproducibility is a defining feature of science, but the extent to v characterizes current research is unknown. We conducted replications of 10 | which it Nosek, Cohoon & Kide | ort: Science (20 ^{well} | 15) | | ~ | |
| using high-powered designs and original materials when available. Replicati | 26 contributions | | | | | |

RESEARCH ARTICLE

PSYCHOLOGY

Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science

Open Science Collaboration*+



PLos one

"Positive" Results Increase Down the Hierarchy of the Sciences

Daniele Fanelli*

INNOGEN and ISSTI-Institute for the Study of Science, Technology & Innovation, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom



What now?

Why do we fear snakes?

. . .

| Experimental condition | Conditioned stimulus | Unconditioned stimulus (shock) | Result |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Nonthreatening | | + / | Low conditioned fear |
| Acquired threat | 7- | + / | Moderate conditioned fear |
| Biological threat | | + / | High conditioned fear |

Research Article Detecting the Snake in the Grass

Attention to Fear-Relevant Stimuli by Adults and Young Children Vanessa LoBue and Judy S. DeLoache

University of Virginia



Fig. 1. A preschool child identifying the single flower target among eight snake distractors by touching the flower image on a touch-screen monitor.

| Open Science Framework | | | Browse - Help - Q | gn in - |
|---|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 1 Replication of LoBue & DeLoache (200 Files Wilk Analytics Rege | strations | Forks | | |
| Reproducibility Project: Psychology / | | PLOIC # 1 | C 10 | |
| Replication of LoBue & DeLoache (2008, PS | S. Stu | udy 3) | | |
| ontrabutors: Lesie Criamolet Avaitez, Tviar Martinez, Henyvite Zunc, Megan Tapia, H Natran Piptone Me Creates 2015-08-21 11 11 AM Last Updatest 2015-07-45 11 51 AM Latgory: Project: 😵 | | e co≢ Juos≢ili | | |
| Wiki | 3 | Citation | ostantofig ~ | |
| Onginal Citation. LoBue, V., & DeLoache, J.S. (2008). Detecting the snake in the grass: Attention to fear-reli stimuli by adults and children. Psychological Science, 19, 284-285 | ievant. | Components | | |
| Target of Replication. Of the three studies reported this replication targets "Experiment 3" in which 5-year- children and ther parents are asked to dealify either a fear relevant stemal (photograph of a scale a mong caleptitum) or a fear-melevant st. | old | O Study Materials Crambel Avansz | | |
| Files | ß | 12 contributions | | |
| Q Same | 4 | 🖀 Data | | ~ |
| Name A V | | Crantel Availat | | |
| Project: Replication of LoBue & DeLoache (2008, PS, Study 3) | | 9 centributions | | |
| - O OSF Storage | | O Analysis Audit | | • |
| LoBue & DeLoach replication FINAL REPORT 2015 docx | | Hodsol | | |
| CaBue Commentary on Replication. docx | | B contributions | | |
| - O Component: Study Materials | | CONTRACTOR OF STR | | |
| and the second se | | | | |

Research Article

Adaptive Memory

The Comparative Value of Survival Processing

James S. Nairne, Josefa N.S. Pandeirada, and Sarah R. Thompson

Purdue University

original study



Fig. 2. Average proportion of words recalled for each scenario in Experiment 2. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (as per Masson & Loftus, 2003).

replication



Figure 1: Average proportion of words recalled for each scenario in Experiment 2. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Too Impatient to Smell the Roses: Exposure to Fast Food Impedes Happiness

Julian House¹, Sanford E. DeVoe¹, and Chen-Bo Zhong¹

Social Psychological and Personality Science 2014, Vol. 5(5) 534-541 © The Author(s) 2013 Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1948550613511498 spps.sagepub.com



Research Article

Keeping One's Distance

The Influence of Spatial Distance Cues on Affect and Evaluation

Lawrence E. Williams and John A. Bargh

Yale University

- Does physical distance affect psychological distance?
 - Sensation and perception
 - Thought and language
 - Social psychology
- Close: place a point at (2,4) and (-3,-1)
- Distance: Place a point at (12,10) and (-11, -8)

Rate the strength of your bond to your family and hometown:



Neuroimaging



Neural correlates of interspecies perspective taking in the post-mortem Atlantic Salmon: An argument for multiple comparisons correction Craig M. Bennett¹, Abigail A. Baird², Michael B. Miller¹, and George L. Wolford³ ¹ Psychology Department, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA; ² Department of Psychology, Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, NY; ³ Department of Psychological & Brain Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH



ANNALS OF THE NEW YORK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES Issue: The Year in Cognitive Neuroscience

How reliable are the results from functional magnetic resonance imaging?

Craig M. Bennett and Michael B. Miller

Department of Psychology, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California Address for correspondence: Craig M. Bennett, Department of Psychology, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA. bennett@psych.ucsb.edu

Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. ISSN 0077-8923



CORTEX 66 (2015) 115-133



Research report

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect





(E) CrossMark





Proc. R. Soc. B doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1959 Published online

Online social network size is reflected in human brain structure

R. Kanai^{1,*}, B. Bahrami^{1,2,3,4}, R. Roylance⁵ and G. Rees^{1,2}



Wouter Boekel ^{a,*}, Eric-Jan Wagenmakers ^a, Luam Belay ^a, Josine Verhagen ^a, Scott Brown ^b and Birte U. Forstmann ^a

structural brain-behavior correlations

A purely confirmatory replication study of

^a University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ^b University of Newcastle, Australia



Replication: Interpretation

- Fidelity of methods
- Statistical significance vs. effect sizes
- Researcher biases (for both original & replication studies)
- Pitfalls:
 - All-or-none thinking
 - Confirmation bias
 - Replications as votes

Is Psychology Suffering From a Replication Crisis?

What Does "Failure to Replicate" Really Mean?

Scott E. Maxwell University of Noire Dame Michael Y. Lau Teachers College, Columbia University George S. Howard University of Noire Dame

September 2015 • American Psychologist © 2013 American Psychologist: American 0001.0002/1/d2.200 Not 25, No. 5, 027-200 http://discorg/10.101100001000

Implications for our teaching

OMG IS SCIENCE BROKEN?! What do we tell our students?!

- Replication is useful in teaching scientific and critical thinking
 - Explain its roles in science
 - Discuss how to cautiously and correctly interpret replication efforts
- Replication can help us directly address course content
 - The study in your textbook has been replicated
 - The study in your textbook did not replicate
 - No direct replication attempts reported
- As we often say, teach the controversy

Essay

Contesting the "Nature" Of Con and Zimbardo's Studies Really S

S. Alexander Haslam¹*, Stephen D. Reicher²

1 School of Psychology, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Australia, 2 School of Psychology,

LAPSE MENU R





vord search

st News

Screening of Shock Room at LSE

Go

New publication on the ethics of prison studies

New PLoS ONE paper restages and reinterrogates Milgram's OtA paradigm

Receive latest news via RSS

Real World

grant is not a migrant by other name

Replication in Introductory Psychology

- (is done)...to increase confidence in the validity of the original findings
- (is the) repetition of a research study to confirm the results
- repeating a study or experiment to see if the same results will be obtained in an effort to demonstrate reliability of results
- a process of repeating a study and finding a similar outcome each time
- to repeat or duplicate a scientific study
- repeating the essence of a research study, usually with different participants in different situations, to see whether the basic finding extends to other participants and circumstances
- Median coverage in 12 popular Introductory Psychology textbooks is 66 words, range from no explicit coverage to two full paragraphs of over 150 words.

Recommendations for teaching

- Use capstone and senior thesis projects as opportunities to replicate in meaningful ways
- Engage in critical thinking exercises about the concept and practice of replication
- Use original study and replication results from the open science framework to:
 - Teach students about research methods
 - Reveal how statistical results are interpreted in actual studies
- Use replication efforts to enhance student skills at consuming scientific information
 - Questions? Comments?